

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/626,341	07/24/2003	Mahfuza B. Ali	57169US003	9160			
32692	7590 10/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER			
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			PEZZUTO, I	PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE			
	MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ŕ			1713				

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,341	ALI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Helen L. Pezzuto	1713	

		Helen L. Pezzuto		1713					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the co	rrespondence add	ress				
THE R	THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
t F	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date se							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		EN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
have bounder 3 set fort may re-	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exercises 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding an shortened statutory period for rep r than three months after the mail	mount o	f the fee. The appropri ally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. 🔲 ⁻ f	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extenda Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37((e)), to a	avoid dismissal of th					
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	a brief v	will not be entered b	ecause				
	a) X They raise new issues that would require further co				J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-J-				
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materia	ally red	ucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) $igotimes$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fina	ally reje	cted claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		on-Con	npliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
r	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·		-					
} 7 (For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is profibe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		□ will	be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .								
	Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>8-58</i> .								
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
e	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to co showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under	appeal	and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims a	after ent	try is below or attach	ed.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applica	ation in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	Helen L. Pezzuto	4				
				Primary Examiner / Art Unit: 1713					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/626,341

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Firstly, the proposed product by process format in the existing product claims (i.e. the elected invention) and those in the proposed new claims raise new issues at this stage of the prosecution, because the process was withdarwn as being directed to non-elected invention during the prosecution of the present application. Such product by process limitation would necessitate new search and consideration. Secondly, when a product is described in terms of the process for making the same, the patentability of the claimed invention is determined based on the product itself, not on the method of making it. The burden shifts to applicant to provide clear and convincing factual evidence that the respective products do in fact differ in kind..